



UNITE. STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/735,548	12/14/2000	kenji Horii	381NT/49487	6564

06/18/2002 7590

Evenson, Mckeown, Edwards & Lenehan P.L.L.C. Suite 700 1200 G St., N.W.

Washington, DC 20005

EXAMINER TRAN, LEN

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1725

DATE MAILED: 06/18/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/735,548	HORII ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Len Tran	1725	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 I	AONTH(S) FROM	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a by within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC c, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communic. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 l</u>	December 2000 .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			its is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are pending in the application	١.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•		
8) Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) The drawing(s) filed onis/are: a) accept	•		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ammer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		C 440(-) (-l) (5)	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	1 priority under 35 U.S.C.	9 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document:	a have been received		
		Annligation No.	
<u> </u>			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorapplication from the International Bu* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C	§ 119(e) (to a provisional applic	ation).
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting 			
Attachment(s)	-		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_·

Application/Control Number: 09/735,548

Art Unit: 1725

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-7, 24-26, 28-32, 8-10, 27, 33-38 drawn to a method of bonding metal plates, classified in class 228, subclass 173.5.

II. Claims 11-6, 39-43, drawn to an apparatus for bonding a metal plate, classified in class 29.

III. Claims 17-23, 44-46, drawn to a hot strip mill, classified in class 72.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can be used on non metallic material, such as plastic.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II and/or Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

If applicant elects Group I, applicant must additionally elect the following:

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Application/Control Number: 09/735,548

Art Unit: 1725

- Ia. Claims 1-2 drawn to a method bonding in an oblique direction.
- Ib. Claims 3-7, 24-26, and 28-32 drawn to a method of bonding with an operating locus set at the shearing blade edges.
- Ic. Claims 8-10, 27, and 33-38 drawn to a method of bonding with a protrusion on the shearing blade.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Application/Control Number: 09/735,548

Art Unit: 1725

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR

1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Len Tran whose telephone number is (703)605-1175. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on 703-308-3318. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)305-3602 for regular

communications and (703)305-3602 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

Len Tran

Examiner

Art Unit 1725

LT

June 12, 2002

M. ALEXANDRA ELVE PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4